

### **MEETING SD 91-20-25**

#### STANDING COMMITTEE ON SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT

#### WEDNESDAY DECEMBER 10, 2025 DET'ANCHOGH KŲÉ - EAGLE ROOM 6:30 PM

#### **AGENDA**

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Prayer/reflection
- 3. Review and Adoption of Agenda
- 4. Declarations of Conflict of Interest
- 5. In Camera Matters
  - a) Lead contamination in NWT schools
- 6. Public Matters
  - a) Public briefing on lead contamination in NWT schools with ECE Minister Cleveland, HSS Minister Semmler and INF Minister McKay
- 7. In Camera Matters
  - a) Debrief
  - b) SCOSD workplan
- 8. New Business
  - a)
- 9. Date and Time of Next Meeting:
  - a) TBD
- 10. Adjournment



# Lead Testing at NWT Schools

Ministerial Briefing to Standing Committee on Social Development December 10, 2025

# Outline

- Background
- Roles & Responsibilities
- Current Operating Procedures
- Sampling Procedures
- Communications
- Where is the lead coming from?
- Remediation
- Where are we now?

# Background

- In 2019, Health Canada set out a new guideline for lead in drinking water - 0.01 mg/L to 0.005 mg/L
- ECE and INF developed a proactive pilot testing program
- Screening to identify elevated lead levels that may require comprehensive testing
- Protocol followed ALS Canada Ltd.'s procedures
- Aligned with the NWT Drinking Water Sampling and Testing Requirements

# Background

- A territory-wide testing protocol was launched in October 2025:
  - Clear, consistent and science-based approach to sampling and testing water
  - Approved by the OCPHO
  - Schools range in age from 3 years old to 68 years old, and have undergone a variety of retrofits and renovations
  - All schools will undergo testing
  - Sequence of school testing is based on preview of previous testing, facility age, number and age of students, and other public health and mechanical factors
  - If elevated levels of lead are found, immediate action is taken
- Alternate sources of drinking water were offered by the GNWT
- Testing to be completed by the end of the 2025-26 school year

# Background

- Schools are being prioritized ahead of other GNWT assets because children are an at-risk population for health outcomes related to lead exposure
- After school testing is complete, the GNWT will do a risk assessment to determine which other GNWT buildings must also undergo water testing
- This issue is not limited to GNWT buildings, or the territory this is an issue being addressed Canada-wide

# Roles & Responsibilities

# Interdepartmental WG (ECE, INF, HSS, MACA, Comms)

- 1. Establish lead sampling protocol
- 2. Develop lead sampling plan and schedule
- 3. Verify sampling protocol alignment with best practices
- Provide technical advice
- 5. Provide recommendations for mitigation actions

### Steering Committee (ECE, INF, HSS, MACA, Comms)

- 1. Approve sampling protocol
- 2. Approve sampling plan and schedule
- 3. Approve/ provide funding direction for mitigation measures
- 4. Communicate results to stakeholders

### **INF/MACA:**

- 1. Sample collection and training personnel
- 2. Review and analyze results
- 3. Isolate issue and develop mitigation
- 4. Implement mitigation approach (INF)

#### **OCPHO:**

- Responsible for issuing final approval of sampling plan
- 2. Review and approve proposed mitigation measures
- 8. Responsible for taking protective public health actions including issuing Public Health Orders



# **Current Operating Procedures**

### Pre-testing

- Determine and publish upcoming school testing schedule
- Work with the school on coordination of testing

### Post-testing

- GNWT Working Group receives and analyses water testing results
- CPHO determines if a Public Health Order is required, and if so, the Superintendent is notified
- Ensure appropriate signage is in place for affected fixtures per public health direction and implement any short-term recommendations from Working Group
- INF analyzes results and outlines findings and proposed solutions, including cost estimates as necessary
- INF implements remediation solution



# Sampling Procedures

### Tier 1 Sample

- Collected after 8 hours of stagnation (overnight)
- Represents lead that may leach into water from plumbing when water sits unused

### **General Chemistry Sample**

- Collected after flushing the tap for 5 minutes, prior to stagnation
- Represents a baseline for a clean system

### Tier 2 Sample

- Collected after flushing the tap for 5 minutes, followed by 30 minutes of stagnation
- Reflect typical water use during the day and represent lead exposure under regular conditions
- CPHO focuses on these results to assess human health risk



## Communications

- Ongoing Public Service Announcements following testing results above MAC
- Direct communication to schools for them to share with students, families and staff
- <u>Lead Testing in JK-12 School Drinking Water</u> webpage is regularly updated and includes:
  - Schools scheduled for testing
  - How the testing works
  - Actions under the protocol
  - Testing results
  - Information about lead and health

# Where is the lead coming from?

- Coming from building plumbing, not municipal drinking water
  - Sampling of municipal water is conducted regularly by community governments, no tests have shown presence of lead in municipal drinking water
  - During school water testing, samples of water representative of municipal supply are taken, and also indicate no lead
- Two main sources: older brass fixtures (pre-2014) and older pipe solder (pre-1990)

## Sources

### 1 Brass Fixtures

- Certified low-lead plumbing fixtures came into effect in Canada in 2014, requiring brass fitting to have a weighted average of no more than 0.25% lead content for drinking water systems
- Older materials were allowed up to 8% lead content

## Pipe Solder

- Banned officially in 1986, but published in the 1990 National Plumbing Code
- Provided time to ensure stock was removed from supply chain
- Still allowed to contain 0.2% lead content under certain conditions, could still result in lead leaching

## Remediation

- Difficult to identify exact pipe or fitting in a plumbing system
- Requires continued testing and eliminating potential sources
- Once the source is isolated, it can be mitigated by:
  - replacing plumbing fixtures
  - replacing piping
  - decommissioning unused fixtures
  - installing filters
  - implementing a flushing protocol, or
  - some combination of those approaches
- Testing is continued until it is certain that the problem has been resolved

## Where are we now?

- Continue to implement the territory-wide JK-12 school water testing, and remediation solutions.
- As of December 10, 2025:
  - 13 schools have been tested
  - Of the 13, results have been analyzed for 8 schools, and 6 had Public Health Orders due to elevated lead levels
  - 4 Active Orders remain, and 1 Maintenance and Monitoring Order remains
  - 2 additional schools are anticipated to have testing completed before the winter holidays (December 11, 2025)

